

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/068,273	02/07/2002	Aravind Padmanabhan	H19 02237 US	4265
128	7590 02/17/2004		EXAM	INER
	ELL INTERNATIONA IBIA ROAD	VO, I	HAI	
P O BOX 2245 MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	
			DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/068,273	PADMANABHAN E	T AL
Examiner	Art Unit	
Hai Vo	.1771	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a
final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in
condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued
Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed am condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	nendment which places the application in ); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either	er a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTH ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO 706.07(f).	HS from the mailing date of the final rejection. O MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the pe fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the offee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statuto (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three motimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension by period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be file 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avo	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further consideration a	and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in better form for a issues for appeal; and/or	appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding NOTE:	ng number of finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if su canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ubmitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration is application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation She</u>	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not direct raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cted SOLELY to issues which were newly
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not b explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17 and 45-49</u> .	r ·
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 18-44.	18 a
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ dis	sapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449)	Paper No(s)
10.⊠ Other: <i>The Interview summary</i>	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The declaration has been carefully considered. The declaration establishes that the carbon foam described at column 4, lines 52-57 of Zakhidov is not a light emitting or light transmitting photonic crystal. The examiner agrees. However, the claims are not in condition for allowance because of the following reasons. In the first place, figure 9 of Zakhidov shows that the porous material has all the structural limitations as recited in claim 1. Secondly, Zakhidov discloses that the carbon forms that are preferred for his invention are polycrystalline diamond, and doped diamond (column 23, lines 40-45). Diamond is clearly a light emitting or light transmitting photonic crystal. Accordingly, the porous material described in column 4, lines 52-57 of Zakhidov does not limit to carbon as argued by Applicant. The art rejections are thus sustained.

TERREL MORRIS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700